Impact and cost-effectiveness of snail control to achieve disease control targets for schistosomiasis
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Approximately 240 million people are affected by schistosomiasis, a parasitic disease caused by Schistosoma genus worms. To combat this disease, the World Health Organization has implemented mass drug administration (MDA) programs, which have been successful in some areas but have shown mixed results. To improve population-level disease control, there is growing interest in the role of snail control, which can interrupt the transmission of the disease. In this study, dynamic, age-structured transmission and cost-effectiveness models were used to simulate mass drug administration (MDA) and snail control interventions against Schistosoma haematobium, a common species responsible for schistosomiasis in children. The results showed that while MDA can reduce parasite transmission significantly, snail control could further lower infection prevalence by up to 40% compared to MDA alone. In high-prevalence settings, a combined strategy of MDA and snail control was found to be cost-effective, with health gains exceeding a country-specific threshold. This conclusion was supported by another study on hot spots. The study highlights the potential of snail control as an effective tool for interrupting the lifecycle of Schistosoma and achieving disease control targets.

Significance

Schistosomiasis is an infectious disease affecting over 240 million people globally. To improve population-level disease control, there is growing interest in using chemical-based snail control to interrupt the lifecycle of Schistosoma in its snail host. This approach is not widely implemented, and given environmental concerns, the optimal conditions for when snail control is appropriate are unclear. We assessed the potential impact and cost-effectiveness of various snail control strategies. We extended previously published dynamic, age-structured transmission and cost-effectiveness models to simulate mass drug administration (MDA) and focal snail control interventions against Schistosoma haematobium across a range of low-prevalence (5–20%) and high-prevalence (25–50%) rural Kenyan communities. We simulated strategies over a 10-year period of MDA targeting school children or entire communities, snail control, and combined strategies. We measured incremental cost-effectiveness in 2016 US dollars per disability-adjusted life year and defined a strategy as cost-effective when maximizing health gains (averaged disability-adjusted life years) with an incremental cost-effectiveness below a Kenya-specific economic threshold. In both low- and high-prevalence settings, community-wide MDA with additional snail control reduced total disability by an additional 40% compared with school-based MDA alone. The optimally cost-effective scenario included the addition of snail control to MDA in over 95% of simulations. These results support inclusion of snail control in national guidelines and national schistosomiasis control strategies for optimal disease control, especially in settings with high prevalence, “hot spots” of transmission, and noncompliance to MDA.

In addition to MDA, one complementary approach is the local control of intermediate host snails to interrupt the nonhuman phase of the Schistosoma lifecycle (8–10). Whereas snail control is not a focus of the current global strategy, growing evidence suggests that it could play an effective role in epidemic control, especially in areas of high transmission (e.g., hot spots) (8–14). In a recent meta-analysis of observational data, snail control (mollusciding) through chemical-based method (e.g., niclosamide) was found to be effective in schistosomiasis control, with measured reductions in both prevalence and incidence of schistosomiasis. Furthermore, the WHO has recently published new guidelines for field application methods for chemical-based snail control.
which underscores the renewed interest in the use of snail control in some settings (10).

While there is growing support for inclusion of snail control within national strategies for schistosomiasis, the conditions under which employment of this strategy is beneficial and feasible are unclear. Chemical-based methods for snail control (e.g., niclosamide) are costly, are labor intensive, and do not prevent repopulation of snails after treatment (10, 12). Furthermore, chemical-based snail control can be toxic within the environment, which may lead to unintended ecological consequences (12). With these considerations in mind, additional guidance is needed that define the settings and conditions under which snail control could be beneficial. The key policy-relevant questions include the comparative cost-effectiveness of snail control used alone or when combined with MDA, how the decision to use snail control varies in different burden settings, and the impact of varying snail control frequencies. To address these questions, we modeled the cost-effectiveness of MDA, snail control (focal chemical-based snail control), and combined strategies against schistosomiasis using data from low- and high-burden communities in rural Kenya.

Results

Baseline Burden of Disease in Kenyan Communities. In the low-burden 5,000-person communities with an overall mean prevalence of 12% (44% of these were heavy infection), we estimated a total of 172 disability-adjusted life years (DALYs) over 10 y without intervention (SI Appendix, Table S1). In the high-burden 5,000-person communities with mean prevalence of 38% (48% of these were heavy infections), we estimated a total of 550 DALYs over 10 y without intervention. Following the WHO guidelines (3, 4, 15), both settings would receive MDA targeting school-aged children.

Effectiveness and Cost-Effectiveness of MDA, Snail Control, and Combined Strategies. We found that expanded MDA (community-wide compared with school-based), more frequent intervention, and the additional of snail control would substantially reduce infection prevalence and infection intensity beyond the effect of the standard WHO intervention of school-based MDA alone (Fig. 1A and SI Appendix, Fig. S1). We found that use of snail control interventions alone projected prevalence reductions similar but less than those achieved by school-based MDA alone. Community-wide MDA provided greater prevalence reductions than school-based MDA or snail control alone. The more aggressive combined strategies (community-wide MDA and snail control) were the most effective to reduce both prevalence and infection intensity. Notably, there was a nonlinearity in effectiveness (averaged DALYs) with more aggressive strategies, where additional intervention yielded smaller gains in effectiveness (Fig. 2).

Under the base case scenario with 10% systematic noncompliance (SI Appendix, Table S1), we found that, in low-burden settings, semiannual school-based MDA with semiannual snail control was highly cost-effective [incremental cost-effectiveness ratio (ICER): $904 US per DALY], and annual community-wide MDA with semiannual snail control was bordering on being highly cost-effective (ICER: $1,531 US per DALY). In high-burden settings, annual community-wide MDA with semiannual snail control was highly cost-effective (ICER: $588 US per DALY), and semiannual community-wide MDA with semiannual snail control was also highly cost-effective (ICER: $1,213 US per DALY). The cost-effectiveness efficiency frontier showed the incremental costs and averted disability of key strategies (Fig. 2). The addition of snail control had a lower ICER (more cost-effective) in the high-burden setting, suggesting the prioritization of snail control in high-prevalence regions rather than lower-prevalence areas.

Sensitivity, Scenario, and Uncertainty Analyses. We performed one-way sensitivity analyses for our primary cost-effective strategies and found that transmission uncertainty (which varied environmental and behavioral conditions and influenced snail control effectiveness), MDA delivery cost, schistosomiasis-associated disability weights, environmental conditions (e.g., snail and human density, “hot spot” populations), and systematic noncompliance were influential model parameters (Fig. 3). In scenario analysis, we simulated spatial connectivity with neighboring environments or hot spots by introducing a constant migration of snails and infected humans into the environment and a proportion of the force of infection from an external source; we found these factors to improve the cost-effectiveness (lower ICER) of key strategies (Fig. 3). In scenario analyses, we found that addition of snail control was most cost-effective (lower ICER) in settings with higher disease burden and higher snail and human population.

Fig. 1. Effectiveness of selected MDA, snail control, and combined interventions for schistosomiasis in low- and high-burden Kenyan communities. We simulated interventions of MDA, snail control, and combined approaches in an age-stratified population of preschool-aged children, school-aged children, and adults in (A) low-prevalence Kenyan communities and (B) high-prevalence Kenyan communities with 75% coverage for MDA. The figure displays selected interventions for visualization purposes; plots for all tested interventions are available in SI Appendix.
were lower (higher ICER), since MDA strategies had less impact. We varied intervention effectiveness (e.g., snail control, praziquantel efficacy for worm reduction), which often improved the cost-effectiveness (lower ICER) of more aggressive strategies, since the incremental benefit became larger when considering less effective interventions. We characterized the programmatic parameter values where these primary strategies were no longer robust (SI Appendix).

In the uncertainty analysis (SI Appendix, Figs. S2 and S3), for low-burden settings, we found that school-based MDA with snail control was the optimal cost-effective strategy in 50% of simulations, while community-wide MDA with snail control was optimal in 46% (Table 1). In high-prevalence settings, community-wide MDA with snail control was the optimal cost-effective strategy in 95% of simulations. In 99% of simulations, the optimally cost-effective scenario included snail control; over 97% included both snail control and MDA.

In low-prevalence communities, we found the strategy of semiannual school-based MDA with semiannual snail control to be highly cost-effective in 67% of the simulations and optimally cost-effective in 30% [ICER, 95% uncertainty interval (95% UI): $351–$3,884 US per DALY]; annual community-wide MDA with semiannual snail control was highly cost-effective in 43% of the simulations and optimally cost-effective in 42% (ICER, 95% UI: $495–$4,867 US per DALY). In high-prevalence settings, we found the strategy of semiannual community-wide MDA with semiannual snail control to be highly cost-effective in 71% of simulations and optimally cost-effective in 71% (ICER, 95% UI: $511–$2,773 US per DALY).

Discussion
In this modeling study, we found that inclusion of snail control alongside MDA is a highly cost-effective strategy for targeting schistosomiasis. In low-burden settings, we estimated that school-based MDA with snail control and possibly, community-wide MDA with snail control could be highly cost-effective. In high-burden communities, which may be recalcitrant to school-based MDA alone, community-wide MDA with snail control was robust in being highly cost-effective. In over 95% of simulations, the optimally cost-effective strategy included snail control. Importantly, setting-specific differences could inform implementation of more refined snail control strategies (10, 11). Overall, these findings support the inclusion of snail control in global guidelines and national schistosomiasis control strategies to achieve optimal disease control, especially in settings with high-disease burden, hot spots of transmission, and high systematic noncompliance to MDA.

Over the past decade, MDA programs have scaled up across many low- and middle-income countries for control of schistosomiasis, and great progress has been made to decrease the burden of this helminthiasis (16). The primary public health strategy has been MDA targeting school-aged children, and while this strategy has reduced disease burden in this demographic group, the approach of school-based MDA often results in reinfection, does not address broader age groups, and has not led to elimination of transmission (5–7). Recent modeling and health economic studies have found that expanded community-wide MDA that includes preschool-aged children, adolescents, and adults would better reduce overall disease burden and reinfection at a rate greater than that for school-based control alone and would be highly cost-effective (17–20). As the global strategy changes and broader revisions of guidelines are considered, complementary strategies are important to evaluate. For these reasons, we tested the projected cost-effectiveness of snail control implemented alongside MDA strategies to understand the optimal epidemiologic conditions for implementation to support national and global strategies.
avert disability by treating human infections and reducing transmission, snail control works solely by reducing future transmission.

Using data from low- and high-prevalence settings in rural Kenya, we generated a range of hypothetical communities for low- and high-prevalence conditions that shared similar baseline disease burden but had varied snail environment and behavioral conditions. We found that addition of snail control alongside MDA was highly cost-effective in almost all simulations. These results suggest a need for addition of snail control with inclusion of expanded community-wide MDA in many settings, especially high-burden settings, where there are higher rates of reinfection and disease burden cannot be reduced without additional “vector control.”

Overall, the study conclusions align with a past examination that examined costs and snail mortality in China, which found that focal snail control can be an efficient strategy for schistosomiasis control (21). These main study findings suggest that the current global strategy of school-based MDA alone is too restrictive for optimal morbidity control and support the recent publication of the WHO guidelines on field use of snail control in hot spot regions and expanded community-wide MDA in some settings (10).

The cost-effectiveness of adding snail control alongside community-wide MDA was robust in high-burden settings but less so in some low-burden settings, where semiannual school-based MDA with snail control may be sufficient. To assess the predicting factors, we performed sensitivity and uncertainty analyses that tested a broad range of plausible values for model inputs across Schistosoma epidemiology, cost, and intervention parameters, which may improve the generalizability of our study findings to other settings. There is likely a wide range of setting-specific responses to snail control in terms of effectiveness and coverage, and therefore, we provided sensitivity analyses to characterize the distribution of possible cost-effectiveness results. Interestingly, sensitivity analyses found improved cost-effectiveness for more aggressive strategies (e.g., frequent community-wide MDA with snail control) when snail control and praziquantel were less effective, since these strategies yielded larger incremental effects.

Fig. 3. One-way sensitivity analysis of key model parameters. This analysis tested the effect of changing a single model input on the ICER of the highly cost-effective interventions from the primary analysis: (A) semiannual school-based MDA with semiannual snail control in low-prevalence settings, (B) annual community-wide MDA with semiannual snail control in low-prevalence settings, (C) annual community-wide MDA with semiannual snail control in high-prevalence settings, and (D) semiannual community-wide MDA with semiannual snail control in high-prevalence settings. We varied values for model inputs related to transmission dynamics, costs, and intervention effectiveness, including sampling from the posterior distribution generated during model calibration, which affects transmission projections and snail control effectiveness. The horizontal axis represents the ICER values (US dollars per DALY averted), while the vertical axis includes tested parameters with respective ranges of values. A lower ICER can be interpreted as a more cost-effective intervention, and we considered all strategies left of the $1,377 US per DALY averted to be highly cost-effective, although the full axis is provided to relax reliance on a single threshold. *The 95% credible interval of the transmission projection incorporates the full range of values for the effectiveness snail control and in some cases, was dominated by extension in the lower ranges. **Snail control effectiveness on schistosomiasis was calibrated based on empirical data and is a function of multiple parameters (including snail control efficacy); the lower MDA coverage range still simulated 75% coverage for school-based MDA.
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benefits and suffered less from diminishing returns with more aggressive strategies. Importantly, setting-specific analyses that incorporate local data (e.g., epidemiologic inputs, willingness-to-pay threshold) can allow for a more tailored cost-effectiveness analysis. In these cases, N.C.L. may be contacted to create context-specific findings on cost-effectiveness of snail control and MDA.

Notably, increasing levels of systematic noncompliance to MDA and hot spots of transmission improved the cost-effectiveness of adding snail control strategies. Systematic noncompliance has been documented in many MDA programs (22) and reduces the impact of MDA on transmission, whereas snail control depends less on sustained community participation. Systematic noncompliance may be particularly high when sensitization is not included before MDA campaigns and may also vary by compliance may be particularly high when sensitization is not included before MDA campaigns and may also vary by 
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**Table 1. Proportion of simulations from multiway uncertainty analysis, where the control strategy is the optimal cost-effective strategy**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MDA</th>
<th>Snail control</th>
<th>Low-prevalence communities</th>
<th>High-prevalence communities</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>Annual</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>Semiannual</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SBT annual</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SBT semiannual</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SBT annual</td>
<td>Annual</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SBT semiannual</td>
<td>Annual</td>
<td>20.6</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CWT semiannual</td>
<td>Semiannual</td>
<td>30.2</td>
<td>5.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CWT annual</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CWT semiannual</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CWT annual</td>
<td>Annual</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CWT semiannual</td>
<td>Semiannual</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>23.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CWT annual</td>
<td>Annual</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CWT semiannual</td>
<td>Semiannual</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>70.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The strategy that is the optimal cost-effective choice has the highest averred DALYs, with an ICER below the threshold of $1,377 US per DALY. CWT, community-wide treatment with MDA; SBT, school-based treatment with MDA.

Table 2. Baseline cohort characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Base case value</th>
<th>Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Preschool children, %</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>—*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>School-aged children, %</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>—*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adults, %</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>—*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Women, %</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>—*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community population</td>
<td>5,000</td>
<td>Assumption</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adult male mean Hb (SD), g/L</td>
<td>134 (19)</td>
<td>Refs. 19 and 20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adult female mean Hb (SD), g/L</td>
<td>111 (16)</td>
<td>Refs. 19 and 20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Child mean Hb (SD), g/L</td>
<td>112 (15)</td>
<td>Refs. 19 and 20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systematic noncompliance, %</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>Ref. 22</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Hb, hemoglobin.

*Data are from cross-sectional surveys in Kenyan communities (42, 43).
any resistance to praziquantel or to chemical-based snail control because of limited evidence for their existence, although rigorous monitoring for efficacy would be necessary as these interventions are scaled up and treatment pressure is increased. Finally, future work should evaluate the effects of supplemental water, sanitation, and hygiene interventions, which are likely to prove necessary to fully eliminate transmission, and include development of an interactive tool for programmatic decision-making that incorporates model-based results for schistosomiasis programming alongside other diseases and health programs.

In summary, our study results support the inclusion of snail control in global and national strategies against schistosomiasis, especially in high-burden settings, hot spot regions, or areas with systematic noncompliance to MDA, since snail control can mitigate risk of reinfection in endemic ecosystems and does so irrespective of person-level compliance. The analysis also supports previous work that finds that community-wide MDA is highly cost-effective relative to school-based MDA alone. The disparity between current WHO recommendations for school-based MDA alone and the cost-effectiveness of expanded community-wide MDA with addition of snail control in many settings supports calls to consider strengthened guidelines and strategy to reduce the global disease burden of schistosomiasis.

Materials and Methods

Methods Overview. We adapted a mathematical model for transmission of *S. haematobium* and modeled cost-effectiveness of various interventions over a 10-y period in 5,000-person communities (18, 19, 37, 41). We chose the time horizon based on the duration necessary to capture long-term differences between strategies and timelines for country-level programmatic planning. We calibrated the model to age-structured empirical data on prevalence and mean infection intensity (measured in eggs per 10 mL of urine) from a simulated set of low-burden (5–20% prevalence; mean: 12%) and high-burden (25–50% prevalence; mean: 38%) rural settings in the southeastern coastal regions of Kenya to model different epidemiologic settings (37, 41–43) (Tables 2 and 3). Notably, we simulated many sets of hypothetical communities for both low- and high-prevalence settings, which shared similar baseline disease burden but had varying snail environment and behavioral conditions. We simulated school-based and community-based MDA with praziquantel, focal chemical-based snail control using niclosamide (an intervention that concentrates on known freshwater bodies where transmission may be occurring), and a combined approach that included both strategies. We tested both annual and semiannual (twice per year) interventions.

Model of Helminth Transmission and Interventions. We adapted the dynamic, demographically structured, and deterministic stratified worm burden model to simulate transmission of *S. haematobium* (37, 41) (SI Appendix). This compartmental model stratified a human population by age group and worm burden and dynamically tracked each worm stratum over time to represent changing prevalence and infection intensity. This transmission structure included many aspects of the biology of transmission, including human release of eggs from urine into the environment, the snail intermediate host that allows for maturation of the parasite in the environment, and eventual infection of humans through direct contact with fresh water. We included important epidemiologic factors and intrahost biology, such as worm mating, age-specific mortality, and random overdispersed egg release by infected human hosts (38, 44, 45). The local snail community was explicitly modeled and coupled to the human population, which allowed for simulation of interventions for both MDA (affecting humans) and snail control (affecting the snail population). We assumed a 10% prevalence of systematic noncompliance to MDA in the base case analysis to account for a proportion of the population that was repeatedly missed by treatment based on estimates from the literature (22). The transmission model was calibrated with a Bayesian Monte Carlo procedure to estimate a joint distribution of

Table 3. Baseline cohort epidemiology

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Mean prevalence, %</th>
<th>Mean prevalence, heavy intensity, %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kenyan communities, low prevalence</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preschool-aged child prevalence</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>School-aged child prevalence</td>
<td>20.6</td>
<td>10.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adult prevalence</td>
<td>10.6</td>
<td>4.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall</td>
<td>12.3</td>
<td>5.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kenyan communities, high prevalence</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preschool-aged child prevalence</td>
<td>16.4</td>
<td>7.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>School-aged child prevalence</td>
<td>69.0</td>
<td>40.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adult prevalence</td>
<td>28.5</td>
<td>10.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall</td>
<td>37.7</td>
<td>18.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are from cross-sectional surveys in Kenyan communities (41, 42).
model parameters using observed cross-sectional surveys on prevalence and infection intensity in children and adults from rural Kenyan communities (37, 41–43) (SI Appendix).

We modeled MDA as an instantaneous reduction in human worm burden after treatment with praziquantel using data from clinical trials on drug efficacy (46) and similarly assumed an immediate reduction in snail density after focal chemical snail control (11) (SI Appendix). There is substantial heterogeneity in the observed effectiveness of snail control (measured in relative incidence reduction and prevalence reduction in the human population) (11). We modeled the effect of snail control using a combination of model parameters to generate a distribution for the effect size of snail control for the base case analysis (SI Appendix). We calibrated this distribution to broadly align with the range of observed prevalence and incidence reductions after snail control interventions using data from a recent meta-analysis (11) (SI Appendix, Fig. A5).

Cost-Effectiveness Model and Assumptions. We adapted a cost-effectiveness model that included cost and disability estimates for implementation of various MDA and snail control strategies against schistosomiasis (19, 20). We estimated direct programmatic costs (2016 US dollars) from the perspective of a national disease control program. We estimated costs for MDA and snail control based on published literature and programmatic data from the Schistosomiasis Consortium for Operational Research and Evaluation (SCORE) Trial (3, 19, 47–51) (SI Appendix). The cost of MDA was estimated as the person price of drugs (not assumed to be donated) and delivery (e.g., staff salaries, transportation, and administrative fees) for either school- or community-based programs (3, 19, 47, 48). We estimated a per-community (e.g., small 5,000-person community) cost for snail control that included both capital cost of equipment and variable costs per community (i.e., chemical for snail control, staff salaries, transportation), which was informed by experience from historical literature and the recent SCORE Trial that implemented chemical-based snail control (49–52). We annualized equipment over the expected lifetime of utility and work schedule. The estimated costs are presented in Table 4.

We modeled disability with the DALY using published sequelae and disability weights (which measures disease disability for 1 y of a human life, where zero is perfect health and one is death) following convention for cost-effectiveness analyses (20, 53, 54). We distributed the infection-associated disability weights (which measures disease disability for 1 y of a human life, expressed as disability weights based on observed egg counts in urine as an indicator of infection severity using the WHO thresholds for egg counts (>50 eggs per 10 mL of urine as a heavy infection) and for anemia (Table 5). The cost-effectiveness of a strategy was computed as the ICER (US dollars per DALY averted), which is a relative ratio that compares two strategies and is the difference in cost divided by the difference in DALYS. The computation procedure for the ICER ranks all strategies by increasing health gains (averaged DALYs), and each strategy’s ICER is then computed in reference to the next most effective strategy (defined as the control intervention with next highest averted DALYs that is nondominated on the cost-effectiveness frontier). This incremental calculation using the “next best comparator” (rather than a single comparator) follows convention, accounts for all available and mutually exclusive choices, and is necessary to maximize the objective function for cost-effectiveness. We used a base case strategy of no intervention and defined all noncost-effectivenes as the ICER if the intervention was below a willingness-to-pay threshold of the gross domestic product (GDP) per capita ($1,377 US per DALY for Kenya) following common practice, although we tested alternative values to relax reliance on a single threshold. We defined the optimal cost-effective strategy as the choice with highest averted DALYs and ICER below the willingness-to-pay threshold. For further conceptualization of the willingness-to-pay threshold used to interpret the ICER, some common global health interventions and their associated ICERs include malaria bed nets ($5–$17 US per DALY), childhood vaccination ($10–$30 US per DALY), antiretroviral treatment ($300–$500 US per DALY), improvements in water and sanitation ($1,100–$15,000 US per DALY), and latent TB treatment ($4,000–$25,000 US per DALY) (55). We defined strategies as strictly dominated when they had lower effectiveness and higher cost than another choice and dominated by extension when the strategy was less effective and had a higher ICER relative to another choice. We computed the cost-effectiveness efficiency frontier, which plots costs and effectiveness (averited DALYs) of all strategies to understand comparison and tradeoff of cost and health gains among many control strategies to support decision-making, especially in cases where resources may be limited. On the frontier, the optimal strategy maximized averted DALYs while maintaining an ICER (slope of line between strategy and next best comparator; measured in US dollars per averted DALY) below a defined willingness-to-pay threshold. Total costs and disability were discounted at 3% annually, and undiscounted results were also calculated (56) (SI Appendix).

Sensitivity, Scenario, and Uncertainty Analyses. We tested the robustness of our primary study findings with one-way sensitivity and multiway uncertainty analyses that varied key model parameters across a range of possible values. We conducted one-way sensitivity analyses on inputs related to Schistosoma natural history, transmission, environmental conditions (e.g., snail population, spatial connectivity), intervention effectiveness, compliance (MDA and snail control), and cost. We accounted for uncertainty in disease transmission by sampling from the posterior distribution, which produced multiple sets of transmission parameters to create a range of model projections, and applied this in the one-way and multiway sensitivity analyses. This sampling process also captured heterogeneity in snail control effectiveness by creating a distribution for the effectiveness of snail control (measured in relative incidence and prevalence reduction), which helped estimate the robustness of the model conclusions for varying effectiveness levels for snail control (full distribution of effect size for snail control is in SI Appendix, Fig. A5). We also explicitly varied the effectiveness of snail control, which could also be conceptualized as regional coverage. To understand the impact of environmental differences, we incorporated snail migration and a proportion of the force of infection from external human sources to simulate spatial connectivity with neighboring environments, which could represent hot spot communities. We also included a formal characterization of costing values where primary findings are no longer robust and alternative assumptions on systematic noncompliance to MDA (57). Scenario analyses of key combination of model inputs were also computed.

We performed a multiway uncertainty analysis on helmint transmission and cost-effectiveness, where we varied many model inputs simultaneously to generate a 95% UI. In these analyses, we sampled from the posterior distribution of the transmission parameters to propagate uncertainty in disease transmission and also varied the model inputs for the cost-effectiveness analysis, including the school-based and community-wide MDA cost, snail control cost, disability weights, and noncompliance (SI Appendix, Table S4). In each uncertainty analysis simulation, we constructed a cost-effectiveness frontier and computed the ICER of our key strategies relative to the next best option in terms of averted disability, and we reported the proportion of simulations where each strategy was optimally cost-effective. The data and model code are available online (57). This study was not human subject research and relied on published data.
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